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Objectives of the workshop

- Review major findings of toxic contaminants in agricultural and urban settings and how these are impacting
  - Fish consumption advisories
  - Fish health
- Summarize the understanding of the sources, transport, fate, and effects of chemicals of concern
- Present how to mitigate effects of chemical contaminants by taking advantage of already implemented practices for nutrient and sediment reduction
- Identify future research needs
States recommend meals-per-month limits based on specific contaminant risks to human health

- In DC, according DDOEE, contaminant concentrations appear to be trending downward
- In MD, Bay-wide FCA were primarily driven by PCBs: advisories range from “no consumption” to “8 meals per month”
- In Pennsylvania, about 21 percent of total stream miles have fish consumption advisories, primarily due to PCB levels
- West Virginia manages fish consumption advisories (due to PCBs, mercury & dioxins) are determined through a workgroup consisting of the Departments of Environmental Protection, Natural Resources, and Health and Human Resources
- In Delaware, concentrations of PCBs, mercury, dioxins/furans, and other contaminants have dramatically decreased due to mitigation efforts; consequently, the number and severity of consumption advisories have fallen in recent years
Fish health (urban settings)

• Fish exposed to mixtures of toxic contaminants (PCBs, legacy and current use of pesticides, PAHs, and emerging contaminants) and other stressors (low oxygen conditions, high temperatures, and bacteria)

• Two focus species:
  o Brown bullhead: Tendency to develop tumor (low susceptibility) and their linear home range of about 2 kilometers (site specificity)
  o Yellow perch: Reproductive health (In the most urbanized tributaries (Severn and South), eggs had a significantly higher percentage of abnormal yolks and thin, irregular egg envelopes)
Fish health (urban settings)

Fig. 2.3. Egg abnormalities observed more frequently in yellow perch from urbanized rivers (e.g., Severn) (from Blazer et al. 2013)
Concerns include fish kills, low chronic mortality, skin lesions, and reproductive endocrine disruption as evidenced by intersex (testicular oocytes) and vitellogenin (an egg yolk precursor) in male fishes.

Chemicals shown to induce intersex in other species: Estrogen, β-estradiol, atrazine, DDE, phytoestrogens, and metformin.

Chemicals lower disease resistance: Arsenic, PCBs, bifenthrin, pendimethalin, metolachlor, and mercury, can adversely affect disease resistance.

Linked to fish kill: Nutrients can increase bacterial loads.
Sources, fate, and transport of contaminants of concern

• In agricultural settings: chemicals associated with land-application of manure and biosolids and pesticide application

• In urban settings: Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)
1. Source “fingerprinting” approach: Andy James (University of Washington) described high-resolution mass spectrometry approaches to build libraries of “unique” organic molecules

2. Concurrent field monitoring and modeling approach: Kevin Farley (Manhattan College) described efforts to remediate PCBs in the Hudson River

3. Ron MacGillivray (Delaware River Basin Commission) described contaminant levels in the Delaware River: (a) PFAS were widely present in the river, but concentrations decreased from 2007 to 2015 (b) PCB loads in the Delaware River have decreased since 2005, leading to changes in fish consumption advisories

4. Lee Blaney (UMBC) described a fingerprinting method to backtrack the source of the PCPs, antibiotics, hormones, UV-filters (used as sunblock in sunscreen creams) etc. into the Chesapeake Bay
1. The sources of contaminants on agricultural lands of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed are relatively well defined and include pesticide use (legacy or current), manure application, manure storage, biosolids application, irrigation of treated wastewater, and septic systems.

2. A continued need exists for more information on the exposure, distribution, and effects of newer and emerging toxic contaminants that includes antibiotics, engineered nanomaterials, flame retardants, metals, microfibers/plastics, natural and synthetic hormones, personal care products, PFAS, and pharmaceuticals.

3. Investigative studies are needed to understand contaminant interactions with sediment and organic carbon, transport to and from shallow groundwater, environmental degradation, and overall persistence in the environment.

4. The spatial and temporal variability of agricultural contaminants are currently being evaluated in a select number of subwatersheds; however, more information is needed for the entire Chesapeake Bay Watershed to understand the implications for the health of aquatic organisms during sensitive life-stages.
Mitigation and interactions of contaminants with nutrients and sediments

- Review of existing qualitative assessments of BMP impacts on toxic contaminants
- The BMPs classified as having the highest impact on toxic contaminants
- These are primarily agricultural BMPs and include forest and grass buffers, septic connections, shoreline management, amendments for the treatment of agricultural waste, animal waste management systems, barnyard runoff controls, and manure treatment technologies
- Some BMPs have negative effects; for example, cover crops are usually killed in the spring with herbicides, increasing the amount of toxic contaminants in runoff
- BMPs are classified by function in order to evaluate the overall impact on the landscape; classifications include reducing nutrient application, decreasing volatilization, implementing biofiltration and runoff control, and incorporating runoff control to streams
Mitigation strategies include: A) PCB removal in bioretention cells (Kjellerup), B) Contaminants of Emerging Concern in iron-enhanced sand filter ponds (Keisling), and C) in-stream applications using bioamended granular activated carbon (Ghosh).
Future research needs

- Identified contaminants of concern in the urban and agricultural runoffs
- Summarized current knowledge on toxicity and effect on aquatic lives, fate and transport, treatment and remediation
## Future research needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant Class</th>
<th>FCA?</th>
<th>Fish Health and other ecological Effects?</th>
<th>Conveyance (shared with N/P or S?)</th>
<th>Fate and Transport</th>
<th>Additional Research Needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mercury</strong></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Survival/mortality</td>
<td>Atmospheric Stormwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metals (i.e. Pb, Cu, Zn, Cr, Al)</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Larval mortality</td>
<td>Stormwater Atmospheric</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salts (chlorides)</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Toxic to FW, benthic Impacts to benthic community</td>
<td>Stormwater Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PFAS</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Little available data in CB</td>
<td>Groundwater Stormwater Atmospheric Wastewater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibiotics</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Little available data in CB</td>
<td>Wastewater (septic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Newer class pesticides (e.g., Neonicotinoids)</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Little available data in CB</td>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estrogenic hormones</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Reproduction Immune suppression</td>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plastics</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Respiration in larval fish Mortality Impacts to zooplankton</td>
<td>Wastewater Stormwater Litter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triclosan/Triclocarban</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Impacts to benthics</td>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UV filters</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Little available data in CB - study in DE bay on horseshoe crabs</td>
<td>Wastewater Stormwater Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BPx (plasticizers)</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Little available data in CB</td>
<td>Wastewater Stormwater Litter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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