JRL Scoping Study (ICPRB USACE 2017-2019)

Study period: 2000-2018

1. How effective are dam operations at JRL in controlling flooding, dilute pollution, drinking water, and recreation?

2. Can language in Water Resources Development Act (WRDA 2007) be accommodated?

3. Is a revision to the USACE water control plan needed?
1. Flood Control

- Prevents Mainstem Flooding below the reservoir to Cumberland
- Tributaries still flood
- Ameliorates Flooding In Cumberland, MD
  - Inputs from Wills Creek and Braddock Run
- Reservoir effectiveness is threshold based
2. Dilute Pollution

Watershed improvements resolved most historical issues

• Improve Water Quality (*Low Flow Augmentation*)
  
  \[ \text{pH, Alkalinity, Conductivity, Suspended Solids} \]

• Transport Sediment (*AVFs*)
  
  Organic Solids

• Improve Aquatic Habitat (*Blended Withdraw*)
  
  DO, Temperature (Scale)
3. Drinking Water


• WRDA 2007 calls for use of water quality storage for water supply purposes during drought emergencies

• Jennings Randolph Scoping Study highlights a need for a Drought Contingency Plan (DCP), to accommodate WRDA 2007

**DCP’s should be developed...**

“on a regional, basin-wide, and project basis as an integral part of water control management activities, giving due consideration to the severity and duration of potential future droughts”

and

“provide a basic reference for water management decisions and responses to water shortage induced by a climatological drought.”
4. Recreation

- Fishing
  
  *Coordinate flow + Spawn, Wadable Flows, Temperature (Scale)*

- White Water Recreation
  
  *Coordinate release dates with stakeholders (Bound by hard dates and flow/duration requirements)*
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1. How effective are dam operations at JRL in controlling flooding, dilute pollution, drinking water, and recreation?

   - Flood
   - Pollution
   - Drinking Water
   - Recreation

2. Can language in WRDA 2007 be accommodated?

   YES

3. Is a revision to the USACE water control plan needed?

   YES
1997 Water Control Plan (WCP) for Jennings Randolph Lake

What is the Zone of Influence of USACE operations?

Who is responsible for what?

What relationships exist?
Existing Data Gaps

- Flow and Temp Model
- Fish Behavior
- Ecological Assessment
- Future of Tri-cities
Future Projects: Biological Indicators for Management Optimization

Lower North Branch Trout Movement Study

- Low cost Pit-Tag Study to observe movement (2 year)
- Trout Bio + Economic indicator
- Define JRL temperature influence on downstream reach
- Identify critical areas
- Secondary benefits

Figure 2. Movements of all tagged Brook Trout, relative to their point of release. Maximum daily temperatures shown in color bar.
Future Recommendations for the North Branch Potomac

- **Watershed Management Plan**: Address information gaps and build a multi-agency consensus of North Branch Potomac River management zones, assessment methodologies, management objectives, and a common baseline for mainstem ecological condition. Improve Stakeholder Integration.

- The available instream data and the management tools used now are insufficient to determine the contemporary role of JRL dam operations in the river’s ecosystem.

- Develop an instream temperature and flow model linking JRL operational decisions to conditions in the river mainstem (incorporate targets into models).

- Develop a Drought Contingency Plan as a stand-alone document.

- Crisis Response / Spill Plan

Jointly optimize Biology, Recreation, and Water Resources
Example: Delaware River Tailwaters

- Upper Delaware River
- Cannonsville, Neversink and Pepacton Reservoirs

- Defines Critical Management Periods and Flows
- Defines Biologically Critical Spatial/Temporal Zones
- Ecosystem value explicitly considered
  - Biology and Local Economies